

Supporting and protecting you

# Source Apportionment of Local Emissions of Nitrogen Dioxide in St Johns Air Quality Management Area

In fulfilment of Part IV of the Environment Act 1995 Local Air Quality Management

March 2017

Local Authority Officer	Christopher Poole			
Department	Land and Air Quality Team, Technical Services			
Address	Worcestershire Regulatory Services Wyre Forest House Finepoint Way Kidderminster Worcestershire DY11 7WF			
Telephone	01905 822799			
E-mail	wrsenquiries@worcsregservices.gov.uk			
Report Reference number	St Johns Source Apportionment FINAL			
Date	March 2017			

# **Table of Contents**

Introduction	.5
Air Quality Objectives	.5
Declaration	.5
Source Apportionment Approach	.6
Emissions Factor Toolkit	.6
Traffic Data	.6
Diffusion Tube Data	.6
Background and Local Contributions	.8
Air Quality Improvements Required	.9
Appendix A – Map of St Johns Air Quality Management Area1	12
Appendix B – Emission Factor Toolkit v7.0 Input and Outputs1	13
Appendix C – Source Apportionment Calculations1	17

# List of Tables

Table 1: UK Air Quality Objectives for Nitrogen dioxide (NO2) - LAQM	5
Table 2: Annual Mean NO <sub>2</sub> Monitoring Results in St Johns AQMA	7
Table 3: Measured Nitrogen Dioxide Concentrations and Contribution of Each Main Source Type to	
Total	8
Table 4: Concentrations and Percentage Contribution of Emissions from Vehicle Classes to Local	
Traffic Source Total	9
Table 5: Required reduction in Annual Mean Concentration at Worst Receptor location StJ11	C
Table 6: Nominal Emissions Reduction for Each Vehicle Category1	C

13
4
15
15
.6

Table C 1: The local contribution apportioned to each vehicle class calculated for	
monitoring/receptor location KCP in accordance with LAQM.TG16 Box 7.51	7
Table C 2: The local contribution apportioned to each vehicle class calculated for	
monitoring/receptor location StJ1 in accordance with LAQM.TG16 Box 7.51	8
Table C 3: The local contribution apportioned to each vehicle class calculated for	
monitoring/receptor location StJ2 in accordance with LAQM.TG16 Box 7.51	9
Table C 4: The local contribution apportioned to each vehicle class calculated for	
monitoring/receptor location StJ3 in accordance with LAQM.TG16 Box 7.5	0
Table C 5: Nitrous Oxides and Nitrogen Dioxide equivalent reduction required calculated for	
monitoring/receptor location StJ1 utilising Defra's NOx to NO2 Conversion Spreadsheet v5.1 in	
accordance with LAQM.TG16 Box 7.6	1
Table C 6: Defra's NOx to NO <sub>2</sub> Conversion Spreadsheet v5.1 for LAQM.TG16 Box 7.6 calculation at	
StJ12	1

## Introduction

This 'Source Apportionment for St. Johns' report fulfils the requirements of the Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) process as set out in Part IV of the Environment Act (1995), the Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland 2007 and the relevant Policy and Technical Guidance documents.

Policy guidance (LAQM.PG16) requires a Local Authority to produce an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) following declaration of an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA). In order to develop an appropriate plan it is necessary to identify the sources contributing to the objective exceedances within the AQMA.

## **Air Quality Objectives**

The air quality objectives set out in the Air Quality (England) Regulations 2000, as amended by the Air Quality (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2002, provide the statutory basis for the air quality objectives under LAQM in England. The relevant objectives for the purpose of this assessment are set out in Table 1 below:

## Table 1: UK Air Quality Objectives for Nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) - LAQM

Pollutant	Objective	Averaging Period	Obligation
Nitrogen	200µg/m³ not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year	1-hour mean	All local authorities
Dioxide $(NO_2)$	40μg/m <sup>3</sup>	Annual mean	All local authorities

## Declaration

Worcester City Council declared the St. Johns AQMA on 26<sup>th</sup> October 2014 following exceedances of the objective for annual average of nitrogen dioxide. A map of the AQMA including monitoring locations is provided in Appendix A.

## Source Apportionment Approach

## **Emissions Factor Toolkit**

The source apportionment assessment has been undertaken generally following the process outlined in technical guidance. LAQM.TG16 (paragraph 7.100) advises that 'source apportionment may be undertaken using a simple spreadsheet approach. For example, where road traffic emissions are the principal concern, the percentage contribution to total NOx emissions may be calculated using the appropriate emission factors.' This approach has been adopted for the St Johns source apportionment assessment utilising Defra's Emissions Factor Toolkit (EfT) v7.0.

Copies of the Emission Factor Toolkit input and outputs are shown in Appendix B.

Worcestershire County Council provided WRS with local bus fleet composition for First Group who provides about 80% of services in the City. This data was used as a proxy for all bus services in the City and the generalised Euro code compositions assumed in the EfT were amended accordingly to reflect the local circumstances providing a more accurate EfT output. A copy of current fleet composition is included in Appendix B.

WRS will review the EfT outputs based on any additional data on local bus fleet composition received in the future and provide a revised version of the Source Apportionment assessment and resulting AQAP as appropriate at the time.

## **Traffic Data**

12 hour road traffic counts were collected at two locations within the AQMA in June 2016 for the purposes of this source apportionment assessment. The traffic counts have been scaled to 24 hour cycle using DfT table TRA037. The traffic counts and 24 Hours scaling calculations are presented in Appendix B.

Speed data has been recorded on several journeys back and forth through the study area using a mobile phone app 'Speedometer GPS'. The average speed data on each link and traffic split has been incorporated into Emissions Factor Toolkit v7.0 to determine the percentage contribution from vehicles.

### **Diffusion Tube Data**

Worcester City Council monitors annual mean nitrogen dioxide concentrations using passive diffusion tubes located across the district. Four of these sites are located within the boundary of the St. John's AQMA. A plan showing the positions of diffusion tube monitoring locations is included in Appendix A. A fifth diffusion tube (Loc.BrM) is positioned just outside of the AQMA and therefore has been discounted for the purposes of this assessment. The monitoring positions within the AQMA are all located on drainpipes or street lights immediately adjacent to ground floor retail properties within the AQMA. Technical guidance advises relevant receptors in respect of annual average air quality objectives are residential properties which in the St Johns area are predominately located at first floor level above retail units. However, the pollutant concentrations at the monitoring positions are considered sufficiently representative for the purposes of determining individual sources of localised emissions.

LAQM.TG16 advises that as diffusion tubes are not the reference method, and passive diffusion typically results in a low accuracy, it is necessary to bias adjust the results based upon local or national collocation studies with chemiluminescent analysers.

A bias adjustment factor of 0.89 applied to diffusion tubes for 2016 was derived from local colocation study in Wychbold undertaken between March and September 2016. The bias adjustment factor correlates with the National Diffusion Tube Bias Adjustment Factor Spreadsheet 09/16 which reported 0.90 for 1<sup>st</sup> half of 2016 studies.

Table 2 below shows the bias adjusted annual averages for nitrogen dioxide at each of the monitoring locations within St John's AQMA.

Site ID	Site Name	X OS Grid Ref	Y OS Grid Ref	Distance to Relevant Exposure (m) <sup>(1)</sup>	NO <sub>2</sub> A	nnual Mea (µg/ 2014	n Concent (m <sup>3</sup> ) 2015	ration
				(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2013	2014	2015	2010
КСР	King Charles Place o/s bakery LP 5372	384016	254399	FF 1.41	43	37.45	34.17	35.67
Stj1	Scott of Tattoo, 1A St. Johns	384137	254510	FF 1.48	-	46.06	44.55	44.21
Stj2	The Fortune House, 65 St. Johns	384013	254356	FF 1.53	-	31.85	29.31	30.06
Stj3	The Bell, 35 St. Johns	384046	254424	FF 1.53	-	41.18	35.42	34.48

#### Table 2: Annual Mean NO<sub>2</sub> Monitoring Results in St Johns AQMA

Notes: Exceedances of the  $NO_2$  annual mean objective of  $40\mu g/m^3$  are shown in **bold**.

(1) All locations are located on the façade of ground floor retail properties. Measurement indicates approximate height to First Floor relevant (residential) receptor.

# **Background and Local Contributions**

Technical guidance advises that determining '...the apportionment for  $NO_2$  is not straightforward due to the non-linear relationship between the emissions of  $NO_2$  and nitrous oxides (NOx). This is additionally complicated by the different proportions of  $NO_2$  in the NOx emission for different sources, for example, petrol cars or diesel cars. The following advice therefore applies to  $NO_2$  source apportionment:

- Background contributions: the national maps will give the total background NO<sub>2</sub> concentration. This should be apportioned to regional and local background using the ratio of the background NOx concentrations attributable to these two sources, which are also available in the national maps; and
- Local contributions: the local contribution to NO<sub>2</sub> is the difference between the total (measured or modelled) NO<sub>2</sub> and the total background NO<sub>2</sub>. This is then apportioned to the local sources, for example, buses, HGVs, taxis, cars, using the relative contributions of these sources to the local NOx concentration.'

Regional and Total Background contributions of NOx and NO<sub>2</sub> for 2016, available from Defra website, have been used to calculate the contribution of local nitrogen dioxide for each relevant receptor (monitoring location) in the AQMA following the procedure laid out in LAQM.TG16 Box 7.5. The local contribution has then been apportioned to each vehicle class according to the results of the EfT. Calculations are presented in Appendix C and the results summarised in Tables 3 and 4 below:

# Table 3: Measured Nitrogen Dioxide Concentrations and Contribution of Each Main Source Type toTotal

		Annual Mean Concentration (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )							
	Regional	Local	Cars	LGVs	HGVs	Buses	Motorcycles	Total	
	Background(1)	Background(2)							
КСР	10.67	5.28	9.88	2.40	1.59	5.84	0.02	35.67	
StJ1	10.67	5.28	11.56	3.27	3.13	10.28	0.02	44.21	
StJ2	10.67	5.28	7.07	1.72	1.14	4.18	0.02	30.06	
StJ3	10.67	5.28	9.28	2.25	1.49	5.49	0.02	34.48	
	% contribution to total								
			% cont	ribution t	to total				
	Regional	Local	% cont	ribution t	to total	Pusos	Motorovolos	Total	
	Regional Background <sub>(1)</sub>	Local Background <sub>(2)</sub>	% cont Cars	ribution t	to total HGVs	Buses	Motorcycles	Total	
КСР	Regional Background <sub>(1)</sub> 29.92%	Local Background <sub>(2)</sub> 14.79%	% cont Cars 27.69%	ribution f LGVs 6.72%	to total HGVs 4.45%	Buses 16.37%	Motorcycles	Total 100	
KCP StJ1	Regional   Background(1)   29.92%   24.14%	Local Background(2) 14.79% 11.93%	% cont Cars 27.69% 26.16%	ribution 1 LGVs 6.72% 7.40%	to total HGVs 4.45% 7.08%	Buses 16.37% 23.24%	Motorcycles 0.07% 0.05%	<b>Total</b> 100 100	
KCP StJ1 StJ2	Regional   Background(1)   29.92%   24.14%   35.51%	Local Background(2) 14.79% 11.93% 17.55%	% cont Cars 27.69% 26.16% 23.51%	ribution 1 LGVs 6.72% 7.40% 5.71%	to total HGVs 4.45% 7.08% 3.78%	Buses 16.37% 23.24% 13.90%	Motorcycles 0.07% 0.05% 0.06%	<b>Total</b> 100 100 100	

Background split determined following technical guidance in Defra (Oct 2016) 'Background Concentration Maps User Guide':

(1) Regional background includes emissions from sources not in LA control e.g. Motorways outside of study area, Industrial sources, Domestic properties, Railways, Rural sources, Others

(2) Local background includes emissions from sources LA have some influence over e.g. Primary A roads, Minor Roads and Point sources in and outside of study area

Table 3 above demonstrates that the main contributors of emissions within the St Johns AQMA are Regional Background with between 24 and 35% of emissions and Cars with between 24 and 28%

contribution followed by Buses (14 to 23%) and Local Background (12 – 17%). As the LA is unable to influence Regional Background concentrations and Local Background concentrations are predominately a result of traffic sources on other local roads, it is more useful to consider the source apportionment of the local traffic sources in isolation for future improvement actions. Table 4 below demonstrates the local traffic contribution (i.e. minus the Background contributions) broken down further into petrol and diesel classifications in the EfT.

	Local Traffic Source Annual Mean Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )								
		Cars		LGVs			Buses		
	Petrol	Diesel	Others	Petrol	Diesel	HGVs	and Coaches	Motorcycles	Total
КСР	1.34	8.50	0.03	0.03	2.37	1.59	5.84	0.02	19.72
StJ1	1.59	9.94	0.03	0.04	3.23	3.13	10.28	0.02	28.26
StJ2	0.96	6.09	0.02	0.02	1.69	1.14	4.18	0.02	14.11
StJ3	1.26	7.99	0.03	0.03	2.22	1.49	5.49	0.02	18.53
			Local Ti	raffic Sou	rce % cont	ribution to	total		
		Cars		L	GV		Buses		
	Dotrol	Diocol	Othors	Dotrol	Diocol	HGVs	and	Motorcycles	Total
	retion	Diesei	Others	retion	Diesei		Coaches		
КСР	6.81%	43.12%	0.15%	0.16%	12.00%	8.04%	29.60%	0.12%	100%
StJ1	5.64%	35.16%	0.12%	0.16%	11.41%	11.08%	36.36%	0.08%	100%
StJ2	6.81%	43.12%	0.15%	0.16%	12.00%	8.04%	29.60%	0.12%	100%
S±13	6.81%	43.12%	0.15%	0.16%	12.00%	8.04%	29.60%	0.12%	100%

Table 4: Concentrations and Percentage Contribution of Emissions from Vehicle Classes to Local
Traffic Source Total

Table 4 above demonstrates the main contributors of emissions from local sources within the St Johns AQMA are diesel cars with between 35 and 43% of emissions followed by buses with 29 to 36%. Diesel LGVs (11 - 12%) and HGVs (8 - 11%) also make up sizeable contributions.

## **Air Quality Improvements Required**

The degree of improvement required in order for the annual mean objective for nitrogen dioxide to be achieved is the difference between the highest measured or predicted concentration and the objective level ( $40\mu g/m^3$ ). The highest nitrogen dioxide concentration at a representative location in the St Johns AQMA in 2016 is  $44.21\mu g/m^3$  at StJ1, requiring a reduction of  $4.21\mu g/m^3$  for the objective to be achieved.

However technical guidance advises that in terms of the reduction in emissions required it is more useful to consider nitrogen oxides (NOx). Therefore the road NOx reduction required for compliance with the national air quality objectives in St Johns AQMA has been calculated in accordance with LAQM.TG16 Box 7.6 utilising Defra's NOx to NO<sub>2</sub> Conversion Spreadsheet v5.1. Calculations are included in Appendix C.

WRS experience shows that revocation of an AQMA is not appropriate unless measured concentrations are consistently below the objective to avoid 'bouncing' between revocation and redeclaration of borderline AQMAs. Therefore, the reduction in NOx required to achieve targets at 5% and 10% below the objective have also been calculated as this would provide more confidence to the LA that emissions of nitrogen dioxide are under control prior to considering revocation. A summary of the required reductions in NO<sub>2</sub> and NOx to achieve concentrations of 36, 38 and  $40\mu g/m^3$  at the worst receptor location, StJ1 is presented below in Table 5.

Required reduction in NO <sub>2</sub> /NOx Concentrations at Worst Receptor location StJ1							
	Required NOx reduction µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Required NOx Reduction % of local sources	Equivalent NO <sub>2</sub> reduction $\mu g/m^3$				
Reduction to objective 40 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	10.4	16.84%	4.76				
Reduction to 5% below objective 38 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	15.18	24.59%	6.95				
Reduction to 10% below objective 36µg/m <sup>3</sup>	19.86	32.17%	9.09				

Table 5: Required reduction in Annual Mean Conce	entration at Worst Receptor location StJ1
--	---

Table 5 indicates a reduction of 16.84% of emissions or 4.76µg/m<sup>3</sup> would be required to reduce emissions to the objective level based on 2016 data. This report does not focus on how required reductions might be achieved as this will be the objective of a separate Air Quality Action Plan. However, in order to inform the focus of potential measures within the action plan Table 6 below demonstrates concentrations at Worst Case Location StJ1 assuming stepped nominal emissions reduction for each main vehicle category.

Table 6: Nomina	l Emissions	Reduction	for Each	Vehicle	Category
-----------------	-------------	-----------	----------	---------	----------

		<b>Reduction in Em</b>	nissions (µg/m³)		
Vehicle Type	10% reduction	20% reduction	30% reduction	40% reduction	50% reduction
Cars	1.16	2.31	3.47	4.63	5.78
LGVs	0.33	0.65	0.98	1.31	1.63
HGVs	0.31	0.63	0.94	1.25	1.57
Buses	1.03	2.06	3.08	4.11	5.14
<b>Total Vehicles</b>	2.83	5.65	8.48	11.31	14.13

NB Bold figures indicate reductions that would achieve compliance with annual average objective for NO<sub>2</sub>.

When compared with the equivalent  $NO_2$  reduction required demonstrated in Table 5, the results highlight that targeting individual types of vehicle on these local roads in isolation would not lead to the annual mean objective being achieved unless the reductions are very large. In reality actions to improve emissions are likely to target more than one type of vehicle. Table 6 demonstrates that:

• Reducing total vehicle emissions from all vehicle types by around 20% or targeting a combination of 30% cars and buses would be potentially effective measures for achieving the objective at the measured Worst Receptor Location StJ1.

- Reducing total vehicle emissions from all vehicle types by between 20 and 30% or targeting a combination of >30% cars and buses would be potentially effective measures for achieving concentrations 5% below the objective at the measured Worst Receptor Location StJ1.
- Reducing total vehicle emissions from all vehicle types by between 30 and 40% or targeting a combination of >40% cars and buses would be potentially effective measures for achieving concentrations 10% below the objective at the measured Worst Receptor Location StJ1.

## **Summary and Conclusions**

Worcester City Council declared the St. Johns AQMA on 26<sup>th</sup> October 2014 following exceedances of the objective for annual average of nitrogen dioxide. Source apportionment of background and local sources to inform an Air Quality Action Plan has been undertaken using a simple spreadsheet approach comprising Defra's Emissions Factor Toolkit v7.0 utilising relevant traffic count and monitoring data.

This shows that the background concentration contributes a significant proportion of the overall concentration of nitrogen dioxide measured in the AQMA (36 to 53%). Cars, which make up the largest traffic volume (82 to 85%), contribute 40 to 50% of local traffic emissions within the AQMA, with diesel cars in particular responsible for a large proportion, 35 to 43%. Local buses contribute 29 to 36% of local traffic emissions.

Targeting individual types of vehicles on these local roads in isolation would not lead to the annual mean objective being achieved unless the reductions are very large (between 40 and 50%). However a reduction in total vehicle emissions of around 20% or targeting a combination of 30% cars and buses would be potentially effective measures for achieving the objective. Greater reductions will be required to achieve more sustainable targets of 5 or 10% below the objective.



# Appendix A – Map of St Johns Air Quality Management Area

# Appendix B – Emission Factor Toolkit v7.0 Input and Outputs

#### Table B 1: Traffic Count Data: A44 Bull Ring, St Johns

														Site Nun	nber. 160	90275					
Road No	A44			Location	Bull Rin	a St lot	ne Wor	restor		Day&Dat	to Wedn	eday 20	6 2016	Romark	2						
Noau No				Location	. Duii Kiii	y, 31. JUI	1115, 11011	colei		DayaDa	te. weum	coudy, 20	.0.2010	Nemark							
Ho	our encing	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	Vehicles	Scaling factor	Scaled to 24hr	As % (for EFT)
Pedal	EB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.27		
Cycles	WB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.27		
	Both	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.27		
Motor	То	0	5	0	2	4	4	1	2	0	7	1	3	4	0	0	0	33	1.27	42	0.53519
Cycles	From	0	3	1	0	1	3	1	0	2	3	8	5	5	0	0	0	32	1.27	41	0.47393
	Both	0	8	1	2	5	7	2	2	2	10	9	8	9	0	0	0	65	1.27	83	0.50317
	То	0	609	562	413	352	394	401	363	381	372	358	372	428	0	0	0	5005	1.27	6356	81.1709
Cars	From	0	368	410	315	270	303	318	412	480	561	739	799	581	0	0	0	5556	1.27	7056	82.2867
	Both	0	977	972	728	622	697	719	775	861	933	1097	1171	1009	0	0	0	10561	1.27	13412	81.7541
	То	0	12	18	18	26	22	21	18	26	22	20	21	15	0	0	0	239	1.27	304	3.87609
Buses	From	0	21	21	21	21	24	19	23	24	27	23	18	12	0	0	0	254	1.27	323	3.76185
	Both	0	33	39	39	47	46	40	41	50	49	43	39	27	0	0	0	493	1.27	626	3.81638
Light	То	0	99	61	62	58	71	76	67	58	60	52	40	37	0	0	0	741	1.27	941	12.0175
Goods	From	0	78	63	69	48	57	54	46	75	69	64	72	51	0	0	0	746	1.27	947	11.0486
Vehicles	Both	0	177	124	131	106	128	130	113	133	129	116	112	88	0	0	0	1487	1.27	1888	11.5111
Smaller	То	0	3	4	6	8	4	5	7	5	5	5	1	3	0	0	0	56	1.27	71	0.90821
2-Axle	From	0	2	9	6	8	4	2	7	2	5	2	2	1	0	0	0	50	1.27	64	0.74052
Lorries	Both	0	5	13	12	16	8	7	14	7	10	7	3	4	0	0	0	106	1.27	135	0.82056
Bigger	То	0	3	5	4	5	1	2	2	8	4	4	2	2	0	0	0	42	1.27	53	0.68115
2-Axle	From	0	4	6	10	5	5	3	3	3	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	43	1.27	55	0.63685
Lorries	Both	0	7	11	14	10	6	5	5	11	5	5	3	3	0	0	0	85	1.27	108	0.658
3-Axle	То	0	0	3	0	2	3	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	1.27	18	0.22705
Rigid/Arti	From	0	2	1	2	2	3	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	15	1.27	19	0.22216
	Both	0	2	4	2	4	6	3	4	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	29	1.27	37	0.22449
l Axles o	То	0	5	6	4	4	2	2	4	5	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	36	1.27	46	0.58385
more	From	0	3	7	11	6	8	6	2	5	2	4	2	0	0	0	0	56	1.27	71	0.82938
Rigid/Arti	Both	0	8	13	15	10	10	8	6	10	4	5	3	0	0	0	0	92	1.27	117	0.71218
	EB	0	736	659	509	459	501	508	466	486	472	441	440	489	0	0	0	6166	1.27	7831	100
Totals	WB	0	481	518	434	361	407	406	494	591	668	841	900	651	0	0	0	6752	1.27	8575	100
	Both	0	1217	1177	943	820	908	914	960	1077	1140	1282	1340	1140	0	0	0	12918	1.27	16406	100

### Table B 2: Traffic Count Data - B4485, St Johns

														Site Nun	nber. 160	90274					
Road No	. B4485			Location	. St. Johi	ns, Worce	ester			Day&Dat	te. Thurs	day, 23.6.	2016	Remark	s.						
																					As %
Ho	our	-	_	_	_													Vehicle	Scaling	Scaled	(for
Comm	encing	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	S	factor	to 24hr	EFT)
Pedal	NB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.28	0	
Cycles	SB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.28	0	
	Both	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.28	U	0
Motor	То	0	1	2	1	1	1	2	5	0	0	2	6	2	0	0	0	23	1.28	29	0.58929
Cycles	From	0	1	2	1	0	1	0	6	2	2	2	4	6	0	0	0	2/	1.28	35	0.77586
	Both	0	2	4	2	1	2	2	11	2	2	4	10	8	0	0	0	00	1.28	04	0.67723
Cara	То	0	248	313	218	233	235	273	263	245	354	279	385	299	0	0	0	3345	1.28	4282	85.7033
Cars	From	0	131	18/	213	230	224	195	238	2//	321	326	324	260	0	0	0	2920	1.28	9027	84.0805
	BOTH	0	3/9	500	431	465	459	468	501	522	6/5	605	709	553	0	0	0	0271	1.20	0021	04.9304
Ducco	10	0	3	8	6	12	10	10	/	8	9	/	5	6	0	0	0	91	1.20	110	2.33154
Duses	From	0	10	12	10	21	12	19	10	17	17	12	9	2	0	0	0	90	1.20	238	2.72909
Light	Te	0	41	20	10	21	22	13	24	21	47	41	25	•	0	0	0	302	1.20	502	40.0426
Goode	From	0	75	20	27	20	30	42	24	25	40	71	25	14	0	0	0	392	1.20	486	10.0430
Vehicles	Both	0	77	67	67	67	73	70	£20 62	56	76	78	57	14	0	0	0	772	1.20	988	10.4565
Smaller	To	0	2	1	1	2	2	3	1	3	4	4	1	0	0	0	0	24	1.28	31	0.61491
2-Axle	From	0	0	1	3	3	3	4	4	1	3	3	2	2	0	0	0	29	1.28	37	0.83333
Lorries	Both	0	2	2	4	5	5	7	5	4	7	7	3	2	0	0	0	53	1.28	68	0.71787
Biager	То	0	3	0	2	3	3	2	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	1.28	24	0.48681
2-Axle	From	0	2	1	1	2	3	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	1.28	18	0.4023
Lorries	Both	0	5	1	3	5	6	4	3	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	1.28	42	0.44697
3-Axle	То	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1.28	3	0.05124
ligid/Arti	From	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1.28	3	0.05747
	Both	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1.28	5	0.05418
Axles o	То	0	0	1	0	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1.28	9	0.17935
more	From	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	1.28	9	0.20115
ligid/Arti	Both	0	1	2	0	3	1	3	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	14	1.28	18	0.18962
	NB	0	298	361	258	281	285	334	315	288	413	333	422	315	0	0	0	3903	1.28	4996	100
Totals	SB	0	178	228	265	285	283	240	289	316	367	374	371	284	0	0	0	3480	1.28	4454	100
	Both	0	476	589	523	566	568	574	604	604	780	707	793	599	0	0	0	7383	1.28	9450	100

Select Pollutants		Select		Additional Outputs		Advanced Options	Click the button	to:				
NOx	CO2	Ou Air Quality M	odelling (g/km/s)	Breakdown by Vehick	2	Euro Compasitions	<b>6</b>	Run EFT				
PM10	PM2.5	Emissions R	ates (g/km)	Source Apportionmen	t	Alternative Technologies						
		🔽 Annual Link I	Emissions	PM by Source		Output % Contributions from Euro Classes	Clea	r Input Data				
Please Select from t	the Following Options:	Export Outputs										
Area	England (not London)	Save Outo	ut to New Workboo	sk								
Year	2016					_						
Traffic Forma	t Detailed Option 2	File Name:	St Johns Source Ap	pportionment								
Select 'Basic Split'	or 'Detailed Option 1 to 3' above											
SourceID	Road Type	Traffic Flow	% Car	% Taxi (black cab)	% LGV	% Rigid HGV	% Artic HGV	% Bus and Coach	% Motorcycle	Speed(kph)	No of Hours	Link Length (km)
Bull Ring Count 9 Combi	ned Urban (not London)	16406	81.75414151	0	11.51106983	1.478557052	0.936677504	3.816380245	0.50317387	28.8	24	0.074
B4485 Count 10 Combin	ned Urban (not London)	9450	84.93837194	0	10.45645402	1.164838142	0.243803332	2.519301097	0.67723148	25.4	24	0.134

#### Table B 3: Emission Factor Toolkit v7.0 Input

#### Table B 4: Emission Factor Toolkit v7.0 Output

													Full	Plug-In	Full			E85		Full	Plug-In	Battery		E85		B100		
							Petrol		Rigid	Artic	Buses	Motor	Hybrid	Hybrid	Hybrid	Battery	FCEV	Bioetha	LPG	Hybrid	Hybrid	EV	FCEV	Bioetha	LPG	Rigid	B100	
	Pollutant	All LDVs	All HDVs	Petrol	Diesel	Taxis	LGVs	Diesel	HGVs	HGVs	/Coaches	cycles	Petrol	Petrol	Diesel	EV Cars	Cars	nol Cars	Cars	Petrol	Petrol	LGVs	LGVs	nol LGVs	LGVs	HGVs	Artic	B100
Source Name	Name	(%)	(%)	Cars (%)	Cars (%)	(%)	(%)	LGVs (%)	(96)	(%)	(%)	(%)	Cars (%)	Cars (%)	Cars (%)	(%)	(96)	(96)	(%)	LGVs (%)	LGVs	(%)	(96)	(%)	(%)	(%)	HGVs (%)	Buses (%)
Bull Ring Count 9 Combined	NOx	52.56%	47.44%	5.64%	35.16%	0.00%	0.16%	11.41%	6.84%	4.24%	36.19%	0.08%	0.04%	0.00%	0.08%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
B4485 Count 10 Combined	NOx	62.35%	37.65%	6.81%	43.12%	0.00%	0.16%	12.00%	6.66%	1.39%	29.46%	0.12%	0.05%	0.00%	0.10%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

CNG Buses (%)	Biometh ane Buses (%)	Biogas Buses (%)	Hybrid Buses (%)	FCEV Buses (%)	B100 Coaches (%)	All Vehicles (Annual Emissions (kg/yr except CO2 tonnes/yr))	All LDVs (Annual Emissions (kg/yr except CO2 tonnes/yr))	All HDVs (Annual Emissions (kg/yr except CO2 tonnes/yr))
0.01%	0.00%	0.00%	0.16%	0.00%	0.00%	284.784760	149.694	135.091
0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.13%	0.00%	0.00%	265.318298	165.432	99.886

Buses	Numbers in fleet	Proportion of Fleet	% of Fleet as AADT Bus Journeys in St John Bullring	Euro Contributions
1Pre-Euro I				
2Euro I				
3Euro II	2	3%	17	4.2%
4Euro III	40	54%	339	71.9%
5Euro IV	10	14%	85	11.4%
6Euro V_EGR				
7Euro V_SCR	9	12%	76	11.7%
8Euro VI	13	18%	110	0.8%
9Euro II SCRRF				
10Euro III SCRRF				
11Euro IV SCRRF				
12Euro V EGR + SCRRF				
Total	74	100%	626	100%

## Table B 5: Current Bus fleet (First) composition EFT Input and Outputs

# **Appendix C – Source Apportionment Calculations**

Box 7.5 calculation	Local Source %	NO₂ μg/m³	Total %
<b>T-NO<sub>2</sub></b> (Total (Monitored) nitrogen dioxide)		35.67	
<b>TB-NO<sub>2</sub></b> (Total Background nitrogen dioxide <sup>1</sup> )		15.95	
<b>TB-NOx</b> (Total Background nitrous oxides <sup>1</sup> )		22.68	
<b>RB-NOx</b> (Regional Background nitrous oxides <sup>1</sup> )		15.18	
Step 1: LB-NOx <sup>2</sup> = TB-NOx – RB-NOx		7.50	
Step2: RB-NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>3</sup> = TB-NO <sub>2</sub> × (RB-NOx / TB-NOx)		10.67	29.92%
Step2: LB-NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>4</sup> = TB-NO <sub>2</sub> × (LB-NOx / TB-NOx)		5.28	14.79%
Step3: L-NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>5</sup> = T-NO <sub>2</sub> - TB-NO <sub>2</sub>		19.72	
Step4: % of vehicles from EfT			
Petrol Cars (%)	6.81%	1.34	
Diesel Cars (%)	43.12%	8.50	
Full Hybrid Petrol Cars (%)	0.05%	0.01	
Full Hybrid Diesel Cars (%)	<u>0.10%</u>	<u>0.02</u>	
Total cars	50.08%	9.88	27.69%
Petrol LGVs (%)	0.16%	0.03	
Diesel LGVs (%)	<u>12.00%</u>	<u>2.37</u>	
Total LGVs	12.16%	2.40	6.72%
Rigid HGVs (%)	6.66%	1.31	
Artic HGVs (%)	1.39%	<u>0.27</u>	
Total HGVs	8.04%	1.59	4.45%
Buses/Coaches (%)	29.46%	5.81	
Hybrid Buses (%)	<u>0.13%</u>	<u>0.03</u>	
Total Buses	29.60%	5.84	16.37%
Motorcycles (%)	0.12%	0.02	0.07%
	100.00%	19.72	100.00%

Table C 1: The local contribution apportioned to each vehicle class calculated for monitoring/receptor location KCP in accordance with LAQM.TG16 Box 7.5.

1) Data from Defra 2013 Background Maps for model year of 2016 for relevant local coordinates

2) Local Background nitrous oxides

3) Regional Background nitrogen dioxide contribution

4) Local Background nitrogen dioxide contribution

Table C 2: The local contribution apportioned to each vehicle class calculated for monitoring/receptor location StJ1 in accordance with LAQM.TG16 Box 7.5

Box 7.5 calculation	Local	NO <sub>2</sub>	Total %
TNO (Tatal (Manitarad) situagan diavida)	Source /	μg/III 44 21	
<b>TP NO</b> (Tatal Deckmand situation divide)		44.21	
<b>TB-NO</b> <sub>2</sub> (Total Background nitrogen dioxide )		15.95	
<b>PP NOX</b> (Total Background hitrous oxides )		22.00 1E 10	
<b>RD-INOX</b> (Regional Background hitrous oxides ) Stop 1: LB $NOx^2 = TB NOx = DB NOx$		15.10	
Step 1. LD-INOX – ID-INOX – RD-INOX Step 2. DD NO $^3$ – TD NO x (DD NOx ( TD NOx)		10.67	24 1 40/
Step2: $RB - NO_2 = TB - NO_2 \times (RB - NO_2 / TB - NO_2)$		10.07	24.14%
Step2: LB-NO <sub>2</sub> = IB-NO <sub>2</sub> × (LB-NOX / IB-NOX) Step2: L NO $\frac{5}{2}$ = T NO TR NO		5.28	11.93%
Step3: L-NO <sub>2</sub> = $1-NO_2 - 1B-NO_2$		28.26	
Step4: % of venicles from ETI	F C 40/	1 50	
Petrol Cars (%)	5.04%	1.59	
Diesei Cars (%)	35.16%	9.94	
Full Hybrid Petrol Cars (%)	0.04%	0.01	
Full Hybrid Diesel Cars (%)	<u>0.08%</u>	0.02	26.460/
lotal cars 13412.47	40.92%	11.56	26.16%
Petrol LGVs (%)	0.16%	0.04	
Diesel LGVs (%)	<u>11.41%</u>	<u>3.23</u>	
Total LGVs 1888.49	11.57%	3.27	7.40%
Rigid HGVs (%)	6.84%	1.93	
Artic HGVs (%)	<u>4.24%</u>	<u>1.20</u>	
Total HGVs 396.24	11.08%	3.13	7.08%
Buses/Coaches (%)	36.19%	10.23	
CNG Buses (%)	0.01%	0.00	
Hybrid Buses (%)	<u>0.16%</u>	<u>0.05</u>	
Total Buses 626.11	36.36%	10.28	23.24%
Motorcycles (%) 82.55	0.08%	0.02	0.05%
Total vehicles 16405	100.00%	28.26	100.00%

1) Data from Defra 2013 Background Maps for model year of 2016 for relevant local coordinates

2) Local Background nitrous oxides

3) Regional Background nitrogen dioxide contribution

4) Local Background nitrogen dioxide contribution

Table C 3: The local contribution apportioned to each vehicle class calculated for monitoring/receptor location StJ2 in accordance with LAQM.TG16 Box 7.5.

Box 7.5 calculation	Local	NO <sub>2</sub>	Total %
	Source %	µg/m²	
T-NO <sub>2</sub> (Total (Monitored) nitrogen dioxide)		30.06	
<b>TB-NO<sub>2</sub></b> (Total Background nitrogen dioxide <sup>1</sup> )		15.95	
<b>TB-NOx</b> (Total Background nitrous oxides <sup>1</sup> )		22.68	
<b>RB-NOx</b> (Regional Background nitrous oxides <sup>1</sup> )		15.18	
Step 1: LB-NOx <sup>2</sup> = TB-NOx – RB-NOx		7.50	
Step2: $RB-NO_2^3 = TB-NO_2 \times (RB-NOx / TB-NOx)$		10.67	35.51%
Step2: LB-NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>4</sup> = TB-NO <sub>2</sub> × (LB-NOx / TB-NOx)		5.28	17.55%
Step3: L-NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>5</sup> = T-NO <sub>2</sub> – TB-NO <sub>2</sub>		14.11	
Step4: % of vehicles from EfT			
Petrol Cars (%)	6.81%	0.96	
Diesel Cars (%)	43.12%	6.09	
Full Hybrid Petrol Cars (%)	0.05%	0.01	
Full Hybrid Diesel Cars (%)	<u>0.10%</u>	<u>0.01</u>	
Total cars	50.08%	7.07	23.51%
Petrol LGVs (%)	0.16%	0.02	
Diesel LGVs (%)	<u>12.00%</u>	<u>1.69</u>	
Total LGVs	12.16%	1.72	5.71%
Rigid HGVs (%)	6.66%	0.94	
Artic HGVs (%)	1.39%	<u>0.20</u>	
Total HGVs	8.04%	1.14	3.78%
Buses/Coaches (%)	29.46%	4.16	
Hybrid Buses (%)	<u>0.13%</u>	0.02	
Total Buses	29.60%	4.18	13.90%
Motorcycles (%)	0.12%	0.02	0.06%
	100.00%	14.11	100.00%

2) Local Background nitrous oxides

3) Regional Background nitrogen dioxide contribution

4) Local Background nitrogen dioxide contribution

Table C 4: The local contribution apportioned to each vehicle class calculated for monitoring/receptor location StJ3 in accordance with LAQM.TG16 Box 7.5.

Box 7.5 calculation	Local	NO <sub>2</sub>	Total %
	Source %	μg/m <sup>2</sup>	
<b>I-NO<sub>2</sub></b> (Iotal (Monitored) nitrogen dioxide)		34.48	
<b>IB-NO<sub>2</sub></b> (Total Background nitrogen dioxide <sup>2</sup> )		15.95	
<b>TB-NOx</b> (Total Background nitrous oxides <sup>+</sup> )		22.68	
<b>RB-NOx</b> (Regional Background nitrous oxides <sup>1</sup> )		15.18	
Step 1: LB-NOx <sup>2</sup> = TB-NOx – RB-NOx		7.50	
Step2: $RB-NO_2^3 = TB-NO_2 \times (RB-NOx / TB-NOx)$		10.67	30.95%
Step2: LB-NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>4</sup> = TB-NO <sub>2</sub> × (LB-NOx / TB-NOx)		5.28	15.30%
Step3: $L-NO_2^5 = T-NO_2 - TB-NO_2$		18.53	
Step4: % of vehicles from EfT			
Petrol Cars (%)	6.81%	1.26	
Diesel Cars (%)	43.12%	7.99	
Full Hybrid Petrol Cars (%)	0.05%	0.01	
Full Hybrid Diesel Cars (%)	<u>0.10%</u>	<u>0.02</u>	
Total cars	50.08%	9.28	26.92%
Petrol LGVs (%)	0.16%	0.03	
Diesel LGVs (%)	<u>12.00%</u>	2.22	
Total LGVs	12.16%	2.25	6.53%
Rigid HGVs (%)	6.66%	1.23	
Artic HGVs (%)	1.39%	0.26	
Total HGVs	8.04%	1.49	4.32%
Buses/Coaches (%)	29.46%	5.46	
Hybrid Buses (%)	0.13%	0.02	
Total Buses	29.60%	5.49	15.91%
Motorcycles (%)	0.12%	0.02	0.06%
, , ,			
	100.00%	18.53	100.00%

2) Local Background nitrous oxides

3) Regional Background nitrogen dioxide contribution

4) Local Background nitrogen dioxide contribution

Table C 5: Nitrous Oxides and Nitrogen Dioxide equivalent reduction required calculated for monitoring/receptor location StJ1 utilising Defra's NOx to NO<sub>2</sub> Conversion Spreadsheet v5.1 in accordance with LAQM.TG16 Box 7.6.

Box 7.6 Calculation	NO₂ µg/m³	Reduction required %
Step1 Total NOx	84.42	
Step2 TB-NOx (Total Background nitrous oxides <sup>1</sup> )	22.68	
Step3 Local Sources NOx	61.74	
Step4 NOx equivalent for NO <sub>2</sub> 40µg/m <sup>3</sup>	51.34	
Step4 NOx equivalent for NO <sub>2</sub> 38µg/m <sup>3</sup>	46.56	
Step4 NOx equivalent for NO <sub>2</sub> $36\mu g/m^3$	41.88	
Step 5 NOx reduction required for 40µg/m <sup>3</sup>	10.40	16.84%
Step 5 NOx reduction required for 38µg/m <sup>3</sup>	15.18	24.59%
Step 5 NOx reduction required for 36µg/m <sup>3</sup>	19.86	32.17%
Local NO <sub>2</sub> reduction required for $40\mu g/m^3$	4.76	
Local NO <sub>2</sub> reduction required for $38\mu g/m^3$	6.95	
Local NO <sub>2</sub> reduction required for $36\mu g/m^3$	9.09	

1) Data from Defra 2013 Background Maps for model year of 2016 for relevant local coordinates

# Table C 6: Defra's NOx to NO $_2$ Conversion Spreadsheet v5.1 for LAQM.TG16 Box 7.6 calculation at StJ1

Local Aut	Local Authority:		Worcester		Year: Traffic Mix:	2016 All other urban UK traffic
Site ID	Diffusion tube NO <sub>2</sub> , µg m <sup>-3</sup>	Background	μg m <sup>-3</sup>	Road NO <sub>x</sub> , µg m <sup>-3</sup>		Notes
	μg m <sup>-3</sup>	NOx	NO <sub>2</sub>			
StJ1	44.21131911		15.947928	61.74		
KCP	35.67084759		15.947928	41.12		
StJ2	30.06452083		15.947928	28.57		
StJ3	34.48072713		15.947928	38.39		
Limit	40		15.947928	51.34		
Minus 5%	38		15.947928	46.56		
Minus10%	36		15.947928	41.88		

## References

Defra (Apr 2016) Local Air Quality Management Technical Guidance LAQM.TG(16)

Defra (Oct 2016) Background Concentration Maps User Guide